

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/657,075	09/09/2003		Dennie J.M. van den Heuvel	SYN-0031D1	9183
38427	7590	11/03/2004		EXAMINER	
MARK R.	_	ER	CHOI, FRANK 1		
P.O. BOX 161 CATHARPIN, VA 20143		20143		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•			1616	
				DATE MAILED: 11/03/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/657,075	VAN DEN HEUVEL ET AL.	N HEUVEL ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Frank I Choi	1616		
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover s	heet with the correspondence address		
Period for	• •	\			
THE N - Extensions after S - If the p - If NO - Failum Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repiperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period is to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however ly within the statutory minim will apply and will expire SIX e, cause the application to b	r, may a reply be timely filed  um of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.	
Status					
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 A</u>	lugust 2004.			
		s action is non-final.			
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for form	al matters, prosecution as to the merits i	is	
(	closed in accordance with the practice under $\emph{\textbf{\textit{b}}}$	Ex parte Quayle, 19	35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition	on of Claims				
4) 🖂 (	Claim(s) <u>41-57</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n.			
•	la) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		on.		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ (	Claim(s) <u>41-57</u> is/are rejected.				
7) 🗌 (	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) 🗌 (	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requireme	ent.		
Application	on Papers				
9)∏ Т	he specification is objected to by the Examine	er.			
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	'	ted to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	<u>-</u>		
F	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the d	rawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(	(d).	
	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•	. ,	
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	nriority under 35 H	S.C. 8.119(a)-(d) or (f)		
	] All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 55 C.	3.0. g 119(a)-(d) 61 (1).		
	<ul><li>1.☐ Certified copies of the priority document</li></ul>	s have been receive	ad		
	2. Certified copies of the priority document				
	B. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		<del></del>		
·	application from the International Bureau	•	•		
* Se	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , ,	•		
,					
Attachment(:		🗀			
	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		erview Summary (PTO-413) per No(s)/Mail Date		
3) 🔲 Informa	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 No	tice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
Paper I	No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Ott	er:		

Application/Control Number: 10/657,075

Art Unit: 1616

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 41-57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 06-056700 in view of Patel et al. (US Pat. 6,248,363) and Wear et al. (US Pat. 4,640,020).

JP 06-056700 discloses a method of preparing a spherical pellet by adding the medicine, crystalline cellulose, water and other components into an agitator/chopper (paragraphs 0008-0026). It is disclosed that extrusion did not result in uniform sphericity and that spraying involved a long process and for fine grains had bad coating effectiveness (paragraphs 0004,0005).

Patel et al. discloses methods of preparing pellets by combining solvent, such as water, pharmaceutically active agent, such as zolpidem or salt thereof, and microcrystalline cellulose and drying through utilizing appropriate drying processes such as vacuum evaporation, heating etc. (Column 6, lines 7-11, Column 36, lines 40, Column 45, lines 40-68, Column 46, lines 16-62).

Wear et al. discloses a process and apparatus for drying granular or nodular products, such as pharmaceuticals using microwave energy which is especially suitable for drying temperature sensitive products (Column 1, lines 5-16, Column 2, lines 38-40). It is disclosed that nitrogen gas is used to purge the moisture driven from the product (Column 11, lines 34-53).

Art Unit: 1616

The difference between the prior art and the claimed invention is that the prior art does note expressly disclose the formation of pellets by combining a solvent and pharmceutical agent, carrier to form a wet mixture, wherein the solvent is not combined by spraying, stirring, chopping or both to form pellets and drying. However, the prior art amply suggests the same as processes for forming pellets are disclosed by the cited prior art including the process described above. As such, it would have been well within the skill of one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the prior art as desired with the expectation that the process would form suitable pharmaceutical pellets.

Therefore, the claimed invention, as a whole, would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, because every element of the invention has been collectively taught by the combined teachings of the references.

## Conclusion

A facsimile center has been established in Technology Center 1600. The hours of operation are Monday through Friday, 8:45 AM to 4:45 PM. The telecopier number for accessing the facsimile machine is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frank Choi whose telephone number is (571)272-0610. Examiner maintains a flexible schedule. However, Examiner may generally be reached Monday-Friday, 8:00 am - 5:30 pm (EST), except the first Friday of the each biweek which is Examiner's normally scheduled day off.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, Mr. Gary Kunz, can be reached at 571-272-0887. Additionally, Technology Center 1600's Receptionist and Customer Service can be reached at (571) 272-1600. FIC

October 30, 2004

SABIHA QAZI, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER